

ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

NOVEMBER 21, 2017 FACULTY CONFERENCE ROOM

The meeting was called to order by Tom Nordenholz at 11:05 am.

Present: Tom Nordenholz (Chair), Alex Parker (Vice-Chair), Khalid Bachkar, Cynthia Trevisan, Steve

Browne, Brent Pohlmann, Dinesh Pinisetty (Secretary)

Guests: Margot Hanson and Matt Fairbanks

Absent: Kier Moorhead

Margot Hanson Request

The meeting started off with Margot Hanson presenting her case regarding the representation of the Library faculty on the Faculty Development Committee. Her case suggested that,

"Since the library faculty have the same exact RTP criteria and applying for the faculty development funds as compared to all other faculty on our campus, why should they be defied an opportunity to serve on Faculty Development Committee. She also brings up the fact that it is not clearly specified in the Academic Senate By-laws that library faculty are excluded from the committee."

Margot requested the formation of judicial committee to resolve this issue as per the Academic Senate By-laws. The Executive Committee agreed to her request and will form a Judicial Committee to resolve the issue, i.e., whether library faculty should be given an opportunity to serve on the Faculty Development Committee.

<u>Minutes Approval:</u> The minutes from the October 3rd and October 10th Executive Committee meeting were approved.

<u>Academic Senate Executive Committee Retreat:</u> The tentative date for the Senate Executive Committee Retreat was decided to be on January 5th, 2018.

Policy on Review of Academic Administrators: The Senate Executive committee discussed various options to bring in a closure to this review. The committee was in an agreement that Faculty would love to get a feedback on the review after all their effort to participate in the survey. The committee unanimously agreed that an *Executive Summary* based on all the survey results and rebuttals provided by the administrator would be more appropriate. However, the committee also agreed that this needs to be discussed with the administrative colleagues during the next upcoming meeting.

The discussions also yielded that an ad hoc committee (ARC-Administrator Review Committee) be setup for administrators review. This committee will analyze the survey results and provide a report to the Senate executive committee. After the approval of the Senate executive committee ARC chair submits the report along with the raw data of the survey to the administrator and their supervisor.